S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.5100/2006

Date : 15.11.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Sandeep Mehta for the applicants.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicants as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that he does not want to press the bail application of applicant No.3 Kailash.

In respect of applicants No.1 and 2, the contention of learned counsel for the applicants is that injuries on the person of the injured are simple in nature and false allegations have been levelled against the ladies, therefore, they should be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

I have considered the submissions made before me.

Taking into consideration overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I think it just and proper to

grant anticipatory bail to the applicants No.1 and 2. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicants (1) Smt. Geeta alias Sayar W/o Bhanwar Lal and (2) Smt. Ladu W/o Jamna Lal by I.O./S.H.O. in FIR No.245/2006 P.S. Sadar, District Bhilwara, they shall be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- alongwith two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer on the following conditions:-

(i)That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii)That they shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

(iii)That they shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

The bail application of applicant No.3 Kailash stands dismissed as not pressed.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vij